

**THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LUMBAR PROPRIOCEPTION
DEFICIT AND THE ADDICTION OF SMARTPHONE USE IN PATIENTS
WITH CHRONIC MECHANICAL LOW BACK PAIN:
A CROSS-SECTION STUDY**

Mina M. Wahba¹, Aliaa Rehan Youssef², Dina OShokri¹

¹ Department of Physical Therapy for Musculoskeletal Disorders and Surgery/
Faculty of Physical Therapy/ Badr University.

²Department of Physical Therapy for Musculoskeletal Disorders and Surgery/ Faculty
of Physical Therapy/ Cairo University.

Abstract:

Background: Excessive smartphone use has been associated with proprioception deficit in cervical spine, however, it is not clear whether this relationship exists in other spinal regions such as the lower back. The objective of the study was to investigate whether smartphone addictive use and duration until first use in the morning are associated with lumbar spine proprioception deficit in patients with mechanical chronic low back pain (CLBP).

Methods: Fifty patients with mechanical CLBP were included in this study. Smartphone addiction score, usage duration and time to first use in the morning were collected from patients using a self-reported questionnaire and a face-to-face interview. Lower back proprioception deficit was assessed using the Biodex System 3 Pro Isokinetic Dynamometer.

Results: Ten males and 40 females with a mean age (\pm SD) of 22.4 (\pm 2.48) years and BMI mean (\pm SD) of 23.7 (\pm 1.98) kg/m² completed all measurements. Proprioception deficit was positively, weakly and non-significantly correlated with smartphone addiction, use duration and time to first use in the morning.

The 20th International Scientific Conference Faculty of Physical Therapy Cairo, 6-7 April, 2019.

Conclusion: Lower back proprioception deficit is independent of smartphone usage duration and addiction in patients with mechanical CLBP.

Keywords: Chronic low back pain; Proprioception; Reposition error; Smartphone.

- **Introduction:**

Mechanical chronic low back pain (CLBP) is a popular dysfunction in adults.^{1,2} Persistent pain may be associated with increased proprioception deficit, restricted back mobility and impaired function.³⁻⁸ Consequently, patients and their families may suffer from substantial socioeconomic burden⁹⁻¹²

There are many risk factors for the development and progression of CLBP including demographic and work-related factors.^{1,13-16} as well as the use of hand-held devices such as electronic devices.^{17,18} With the increased use of smartphone, altered and impaired musculoskeletal function have been reported.¹⁹⁻²¹ For example, excessive smartphone use was associated with greater cervical proprioception deficit in patients with chronic mechanical neck pain.²² Also, using smartphone while walking showed reduced back proprioception acuity in healthy adults.²³ However, the association between lower back proprioception deficit and smartphone use and addiction has never been studied in patients with CLBP. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate whether lumbar proprioception deficit is related to smartphone addiction, use, and time to first use in patients with mechanical CLBP.

- **Material and methods:**

Study design

The 20th International Scientific Conference Faculty of Physical Therapy Cairo, 6-7 April, 2019.

A cross-sectional two-testing sessions study that was conducted at the Biodex isokinetic laboratory, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University, Egypt. At the period from May to December 2018. The study was approved by the local institutional ethical committee (P.T. REC/012/001875).

Participants

Fifty young adults with mechanical CLBP were enrolled. All patients had CLBP defined as pain in the back and gluteal area in the absence of radicular pain and known red flags such as history of cancer, infection, urinary or fecal incontinences.²⁴ Patients were included in the study if their age ranged between 18 to 29 years old, BMI was less than 30 and if they had LBP for three months or more. All patients needed to be familiar with smartphone use for at least 1 year. Patients were excluded if they reported a history of systemic disorder affecting the spine (such as ankylosing spondylitis), spinal trauma or lumbosacral radiculopathy.²⁴

Measurement procedures

After screening for eligibility, the purpose of the study and all testing procedures were explained verbally before subjects were invited to participate in the study. Then, an informed consent was signed by all enrollees. Patients were interviewed and the basic demographic information, the average duration of smartphone daily use and the duration until first smartphone use in the morning were collected using standardized questions through a face-to-face interview. Then, each participant was requested to complete the Smartphone Addiction Scale Short Version (SAS-SV). This questionnaire is a valid and reliable scale for assessing the smartphone addiction.²⁵ It consists of 10 items, that are answered based on a 5-point Likert scale. The SAS-SV inquiries about smartphone interference with planned work and meetings, concentration in class, and during assignments or work. It also questions whether smartphone use causes wrist or neck

The 20th International Scientific Conference Faculty of Physical Therapy Cairo, 6-7 April, 2019.

pain. It queries about person's ability to stand without smartphone, and whether he/she feels impatient while not holding it or thinks about it even when not in use. Moreover, the questionnaire asks whether the person will never stop using the smartphone even if it affects daily life, and whether the person frequently check smartphone for not to miss any notifications, and if the smartphone is used for a prolonged period than intended, and, finally, whether other people note the excessive use of the device by the person filling the questionnaire.²⁵ The SAS-SV total score ranges from 10 to 60 points; with scores greater than 34 indicate addiction.²⁵

Proprioception deficit was measured using the Biodex isokinetic dynamometer system 3 pro (Biodex Medical Inc., Shirley, New York, NY, USA). Biodex dynamometer is a valid (ICC= 0.99) and reliable (ICC= 0.99) tool for assessing joint position sense.^{6,26} First, the dynamometer was calibrated as described in the system's manual. Then, each participant was asked to sit on the dynamometer's back extension chair, with the lower back rested against the lumbar pad and feet rested on the footpad. Participant's upper trunk, thighs and knees were secured in place using straps and belts. Then, the lumbar range of motion was identified and entered into the Biodex's software; starting from zero erect neutral sitting with hips flexed 90° to maximum trunk flexion. Testing was then done with the target angle set at 30° flexion.^{6,27}

A training session on all testing procedures was given before the actual data collection started. Lumbar proprioception was measured in terms of repositioning error angle, which is the difference between reached and targeted angles.^{6,27} Three trials were done and the average was calculated. Testing took place while participants were blindfolded, and the researcher did not provide any verbal feedback. Testing started by asking the participant to actively flex the trunk till the dynamometer stopped the movement upon reaching the target 30° flexion angle. This position was held for 5 seconds to enable the participant from memorizing it for

The 20th International Scientific Conference Faculty of Physical Therapy Cairo, 6-7 April, 2019.

a precise reproduction later on. Then, the participant actively extended the back to the starting zero position before he/she re-flexed the trunk at a speed of 5°/sec. Once the target position was reached, the participant pressed the hold button.

Data analysis

All data were collected and tabulated in an excel sheet before they were analyzed using the SPSS version 21 (IBM incorporation, Illinois, USA). The angle of repositioning error and addiction score were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Smartphone use and time to first use were expressed as a count (percentage). Pearson's correlation was employed to examine the association between the angle of repositioning error and smartphone addiction score, use duration and time to first use. Significant level was set at $p < 0.05$ throughout all analyses.

- **Results**

Fifty patients were included in this study, 10 males (20%) and 40 females (80%). The mean (\pm SD) age was 22.4 (\pm 2.48) years, BMI was 23.7 (\pm 1.98) kg/m² and smartphone addiction score was 35 (\pm 10).

The average daily smartphone use duration varied among participants; 13 (26%) used smartphone for more than 6 hours, 6 participants (12%) used it for 5 - 6 hours, 19 patients (38%) used the phone for 3-4 hours, 10 participants (20%) used it for 1-2 hours, and 2 participants (4%) used it between 11 - 60 minutes.

Thirty patients (60%) used smartphone within 5 minutes of waking up and 14 participants (28%) within 6-30 minutes. The remaining six participants (12%) used their phones after an hour or more of rising up.

The angle of repositioning error showed non-significant weak positive correlations with smartphone addiction score ($r = 0.19$, $P > 0.05$), smartphone use duration ($r = 0.13$, $P > 0.05$) and the duration until first use in the morning ($r = 0.03$, $P > 0.05$) (Table 1).

Table 1: Pearson's correlation coefficient between the angle of repositioning error and smartphone addiction score, duration of smartphone use and duration until first use in the morning

	Smartphone addiction score		Duration of smartphone use		Duration until first use in the morning	
	R	P-value	R	P-value	r	P-value
The angle of repositioning error	0.19	0.18	0.13	0.37	0.03	0.85

- **Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to investigate the association between proprioception deficit (as measured by the angle of repositioning error) and smartphone addictive use and duration until first use in the morning in patients with mechanical CLBP. The findings of this study failed to provide evidence to support that smartphone use duration and addiction are associated with lumbar proprioception deficit in patients with CLBP. In this study, no tasks were done, but rather

The 20th International Scientific Conference Faculty of Physical Therapy Cairo, 6-7 April, 2019.

patients were requested to evaluate their addictive use of smartphone based on self-reported questionnaire and a face-to-face interview.

The method used to evaluate smartphone use duration considered patients' own estimate of daily use, however, it did not account for the continuous usage duration. Patients may have used the smartphone over short-interrupted periods, minimizing the accumulative effect of continuous use. Moreover, the questionnaire used did not consider the assumed posture during use. Patients may have adapted comfortable postures or frequently changed their position while using the device to minimize the adverse effect on back and, hence, could have protected themselves from the drawbacks of prolonged use.^{28,29}

Literature studying the association between smartphone use and back function are scarce. Only Yoon et al. (2015) investigated the association between phone use and back function. They reported a significant difference of back repositioning error after using the phone for texting while walking. The results of Yoon and his colleagues contradict with the current study findings. However, differences in the methodology between the two studies could explain the contradiction in findings. First, Yoon's study was conducted on normal healthy adults, whereas patients with CLBP were recruited in this study; second, In Yoon's study, participants texted while walking, which is a dynamic task requiring more concentration and activation of back stabilizers.³⁰ Third, texting while walking was proven to negatively affect gait kinematics³¹, which could be attributed to the activation of postural muscles in order to maintain balance.²³

A few studies investigated the association and smartphone use in the cervical spine. For example, Lee and Seo (2014) reported that smartphone addiction was positively correlated with cervical reposition error in young adults and, hence, proprioception deficit.³² Moreover, Park et al. (2017) investigated the effect of smartphone use on neck pain in young adolescents and found

The 20th International Scientific Conference Faculty of Physical Therapy Cairo, 6-7 April, 2019.

that neck pain tends to increase following smartphone use.³³ Further, Kim and Koo (2016) reported increased neck pain and fatigue of erector spinae and upper trapezius muscles.¹⁹ However, It should be emphasized that the cervical spine tends to be more dramatically affected by smartphone use as patients adapt a more flexed neck position as duration of smartphone use increases.³⁴

To authors' knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the association between proprioception deficit and smartphone addictive use in patients with CLBP. However, a few limitations exist. First, smartphone addiction and usage were evaluated based on self-reported average values and not objective measures. Such reporting may be affected by the ability of patient to remember and precisely estimate the duration. Second, this is an observational study that has inherited bias such as the recall bias and its inability to explain cause-effect. Third, only active proprioception deficit was measured in one range. Future studies are recommended to conduct prospective cohort longitudinal studies with adequate follow-up to confirm these findings. Further, researchers are recommended to measure proprioception deficit in other trunk motions and ranges.

- **References**

1. Meucci RD, Fassa AG, Faria NMX. Prevalence of chronic low back pain: Systematic review. *Rev Saude Publica*. 2015;49:49-73.
2. Schmidt CO, Raspe H, Pflingsten M, et al. Back pain in the German adult population: Prevalence, severity, and sociodemographic correlates in a multiregional survey. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2007;32(18):2005-2011. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e318133fad8.
3. Tong MH, Mousavi SJ, Kiers H, Ferreira P, Refshauge K, van Dieën J. Is There a Relationship Between Lumbar Proprioception and Low Back Pain? A Systematic Review With Meta-Analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2017;98(1):120-136.
4. O'Sullivan PB, Burnett A, Floyd AN, et al. Lumbar Repositioning Deficit in a Specific Low Back Pain Population. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2003;28(10):1074-1079.
5. Brumagne S, Cordo P, Lysens R, Verschueren S, Swinnen S. The role of paraspinal muscle spindles in lumbosacral position sense in individuals with and without low back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2000;25(8):989-994.
6. Georgy EE. Lumbar repositioning accuracy as a measure of proprioception in patients with back dysfunction and healthy controls. *Asian Spine J*. 2011;5(4):201-207.
7. Laird RA, Gilbert J, Kent P, Keating JL. Comparing lumbo-pelvic kinematics in people with and without back pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2014;15:1-13. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-15-229.
8. Hu H, Zheng Y, Wang X, et al. Correlations between lumbar neuromuscular function and pain, lumbar disability in patients with nonspecific low back pain. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2017;96(36):e7991.
9. Katz J. Lumbar disc disorders and low-back pain: socioeconomic factors and

- consequences. *J Bone Jt Surg*. 2006;88-A(2):21-24.
10. Mandiakis N, Gray A. The economic burden of low back pain in the United Kingdom. *Pain*. 2000;84:95-103. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.56.3167.
 11. Dagenais S, Caro J, Haldeman S. A systematic review of low back pain cost of illness studies in the United States and internationally. *spine J*. 2008;8:8-20.
 12. Martin B, Deyo R, Mirza S, et al. Expenditures and health status among adults with back and neck problems. *J Am Med Assoc*. 2008;299(6):656-664. doi:10.1001/jama.299.6.656.
 13. da Costa B, Viera ER. Risk Factors for Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders: A Systematic Review of Recent Longitudinal Studies. *Am J Ind Med*. 2010;53:285-323. doi:10.1002/ajim.20750.
 14. Das D, Kumar A, Sharma M. A Systematic Review of Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorders among Handicraft Workers. *Int J Occup Saf Ergon*. 2018;31:1-16.
 15. Hoogendoorn WE, van Poppel MNM, Bongers, PM, Koes BW, Bouter LM. Systematic review of psychosocial factors at work and private life. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2000;25(16):2114-2125.
 16. James Linton S. Occupational psychological factors increase the risk for back pain: A systematic review. *J Occup Rehabil*. 2001;11(1):53-66. doi:10.1023/A:1016656225318.
 17. Silva GR, Pitangui AC, Xavier MK, Correia-Júnior MA, De Araújo RC. Prevalence of musculoskeletal pain in adolescents and association with computer and videogame use. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2016;92(2):188-196.
 18. Hakala PT, Rimpela AH, Saarni LA, Salminen JJ. Frequent computer-related activities increase the risk of neck-shoulder and low back pain in adolescents. *Eur J Public Health*. 2006;16(5):536-541. doi:10.1093/eurpub/ckl025.

The 20th International Scientific Conference Faculty of Physical Therapy Cairo, 6-7 April, 2019.

19. Kim S-Y, Koo S-J. Effect of duration of smartphone use on muscle fatigue and pain caused by forward head posture in adults. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2016;28(6):1669-1672.
20. Ali M, Asim M, Danish SH, Ahmad F, Iqbal A, Hasan SD. Frequency of De Quervain's tenosynovitis and its association with SMS texting. *Muscles Ligaments Tendons J.* 2014;4(1):74-78.
21. Xie Y, Szeto G, Dai J. Prevalence and risk factors associated with musculoskeletal complaints among users of mobile handheld devices: A systematic review. *Appl Ergon.* 2017;59(Pt A):132-142.
22. Portelli A, Reid SA. Cervical Proprioception in a Young Population Who Spend Long Periods on Mobile Devices: A 2-Group Comparative Observational Study. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 2018;41(2):123-128. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2017.10.004.
23. Yoon J, Kang M, KiM JS, Oh JS. The effects of gait with use of smartphone on repositioning error and curvature of the lumbar spine. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2015;27(8):2507-2508.
24. Chou R, Qaseem A, Snow V, Al E. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: A joint clinical practice guideline from the american college of physicians and the american pain society. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;147(7):478-491.
25. Kwon M, Kim D-J, Cho H, Yang S. The Smartphone Addiction Scale: Development and Validation of a Short Version for Adolescents. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(12):e83558.
26. Drouin JM, Valovich-McLeod TC, Shultz SJ, Gansneder BM, Perrin DH. Reliability and validity of the Biodex system 3 pro isokinetic dynamometer velocity, torque and position measurements. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2004;91(1):22-29.
27. Hussien HM, Abdel-Raouf NA, Kattabei OM, Ahmed HH. Effect of Mulligan Concept

- Lumbar SNAG on Chronic Nonspecific Low Back Pain. *J Chiropr Med.* 2017;16(2):94-102.
28. Vergara M, Page A. Relationship Between Comfort and Back Posture and mobility in sitting-posture. *Appl Ergon.* 2002;33:1-8.
29. Dankaerts W, O'Sullivan P, Burnett A, Straker L. Differences in sitting postures are associated with nonspecific chronic low back pain disorders when patients are subclassified. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2006;31(6):698-704.
30. Anders C, Wagner H, Puta C, Grassme R, Petrovitch A, Scholle H-C. Trunk muscle activation patterns during walking at different speeds. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol.* 2007;17:245-252. doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2006.01.002.
31. Schabrun SM, Hoorn W van den, Moorcroft A, Greenland C, Hodges PW. Texting and walking: Strategies for postural control and implications for safety. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(1):e84312.
32. Lee J, Seo K. The Comparison of Cervical Repositioning Errors According to Smartphone Addiction Grades. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2014;26(4):595-598.
33. Park JH, Kang SY, Lee SG, Jeon HS. The effects of smart phone gaming duration on muscle activation and spinal posture: Pilot study. *Physiother Theory Pract.* 2017;33(8):661-669.
34. Gustafsson E, Johnson PW, Lindegård A, Hagberg M. Technique, muscle activity and kinematic differences in young adults texting on mobile phones. *Ergonomics.* 2011;54(5):477-487.

العلاقة بين عجز المستقبلات الحسية العميقة في الفقرات القطنية وإدمان استخدام الهواتف الذكية في

مرضى آلام أسفل الظهر الميكانيكي المزمن.: دراسة مسحية

خلفية: ارتبط استخدام الهاتف الذكي المفرط معتغير في حدة في المستقبلات الحثية العميقة في الفقرات العنقية، ومع ذلك، فإنه ليس من الواضح ما إذا كانت هذه العلاقة موجودة في مناطق أخرى في العمود الفقري مثل أسفل الظهر أم لا. هدف البحث هو التحقق من وجود ارتباط بين إدمان الهواتف الذكية واستخدامها مع عجز المستقبلات الحسية العميقة في المنطقة القطنية من العمود الفقري في مرضى آلام أسفل الظهر الميكانيكي المزمن.

الطريقة: تضمنت الدراسة خمسون مريضاً يعانون من آلام أسفل الظهر الميكانيكي المزمن. تم حساب درجة إدمان الهاتف الذكي، ومدة الاستخدام ووقت أول استخدام للهاتف الذكي في الصباح من المرضى باستخدام استبياناً تم ملئه ذاتياً أثناء مقابلة شخصية وجهاً لوجه مع المريض. تم تقييم عجز استقبال الظهر السفلي باستخدام جهاز بيوديكس ايزوكينتك

النتائج: ارتبطت عجز المستقبلات الحثية العميقة ارتباطاً ضعيفاً وغير مهم احصائياً مع إدمان الهواتف الذكية، ومدة الاستخدام ووقت أول استخدام للهاتف الذكي في الصباح.

الخلاصة: عجز المستقبلات الحثية العميقة لأسفل الظهر مستقل عن مدة استخدام الهاتف الذكي وإدمانه والمدة حتى أول استخدام له في الصباح في مرضى آلام أسفل الظهر الميكانيكي المزمن.

الكلمات الدالة: آلام أسفل الظهر الميكانيكي المزمن/ الهواتف الذكية/ المستقبلات الحثية العميقة/ إدمان الهواتف الذكية